

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

**Regulation on the interoperability of communications networks and services**

**Table of Contents**

1.1	Finnish legislation .....	2
1.2	EU legislation .....	4
2.1	Terminal equipment interfaces .....	4
2.2	Applications of the transmission of subscription number information .....	4
2.3	Technical regulations issued by the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency	6
6.1	Key changes .....	8
6.2	Assessment of the impacts of the Regulation .....	9
6.3	Version history .....	10
2.1	User-to-network interface .....	14
2.2	Network-to-network interface .....	14
2.3	Public telephone network .....	14
2.4	Public authority network .....	14
2.5	Communication services .....	14
2.6	Communications service provided in a telephone network .....	14
2.7	Communications network or service component .....	15
2.8	Finnish telephone number .....	15
2.9	Calling party number .....	15
2.10	Redirecting number .....	15
2.11	Called party number .....	15
2.12	Public international interface .....	16
2.13	Premium rate service number .....	16
2.14	RCS message .....	16
2.15	Protected SMS Sender ID .....	16
2.16	Corporate subscriber .....	17
3.1	Interface descriptions: Network-to-network interface (NNI) .....	17
3.2	Interface descriptions: User-to-network interface (UNI) .....	19
3.3	Recommendations for the interoperability of communications networks and services .....	19
4.1	Transfer of information required by the obligatory functions in the network-to-network interface .....	20
4.2	Signalling point codes used in Finland .....	21
5.1	Call set-up timers .....	21
5.2	Timers for calls placed to premium rate service numbers .....	22
6.1	Tones, announcements and ringing signals used in telephone services .....	23

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

6.2	Other tones and announcements used in telephone services .....	24
6.3	Use of music and similar alongside ringing tone .....	24
8.1	Transferring a subscription number at the network-to-network interface.....	26
8.2	Recommendations for the transfer of subscription numbers in the SIP protocol.....	27
8.3	Changing a subscription number .....	27
9.1	Transfer of a calling party number in a format enabling call-back.....	28
9.2	Procedures in the case of premium rate service numbers .....	28
10.1	Ensuring the validity of a number .....	29
10.2	Measures at the user-to-network interface .....	30
10.3	Measures at the international interface .....	31
10.4	Processing of the calling party number in an application programming interface ...	34
10.5	Protected SMS Sender IDs .....	36

## **1. Background and legal basis of the Regulation**

The objective of this chapter is to provide the readers of Regulation M28 with an overview of the statutes on which the Regulation on the interoperability of communications networks and services is based.

### **1.1 Finnish legislation**

This Regulation issued by the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency (Traficom) is based on section 99, subsection 2 and section 244, paragraphs 3–5, 7–8 and 12–14 of the Act on Electronic Communications Services (917/2014)[1].

#### **Act on Electronic Communications Services**

##### **Section 243 Quality requirements for a communications network and service**

The Regulation relates to requirements provided in the following subsections of section 243 of the Act on Electronic Communications Services, which state that public communications networks and communications services and the communications networks and services connected to them shall be planned, built and maintained in such a manner that:

1) the technical quality of electronic communications is of a high standard and information security is ensured;

7) the data protection, information security and other rights of users and other persons are not endangered;

8) their billing is reliable and accurate;

10) they function together and can, if necessary, be connected to another communications network;

12) if necessary, terminal equipment meeting the requirements of this Act can be connected to them, and they are, if necessary, compatible with a television receiver that meets the requirements of this Act;

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

13) the responsible telecommunications operator is also otherwise able to meet its obligations or those imposed under this Act.

### **Act on Electronic Communications Services**

#### **Section 244 Regulations on communications networks and communications services**

This Regulation specifies the above technical requirements of section 243 under section 244, subsections 3–5, 7–8 and 12–13 and 16, pursuant to which regulations issued by the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency may cover:

- 3) performance capacity, information security and functionality and their maintenance, follow-up, and network management;
- 4) procedures in the event of faults and interference, and maintenance of information security and functionality;
- 5) structure of communications networks and technical characteristics of communications network termination points;
- 7) technical aspects of billing;
- 8) interconnection, interoperability, signalling and synchronisation;
- 12) technical documentation and statistics and the form of related documents and their storage;
- 13) standards to be complied with;
- 16 other comparable technical requirements set for a communications network or communications service.

This Regulation lays down the procedures promoting interoperability, elimination of disturbances and performance in telecommunications operators' communications networks and, in particular, between them and at the user-to-network interface (communications network termination point). These also result in requirements concerning network management. Moreover, the Regulation lays down provisions concerning the technical documentation of interfaces between telecommunications operators. The Regulation also contains provisions on signalling and the information provided to the user concerning technical call success, in compliance with specific standards.

The Regulation also lays down procedures for ensuring the validity of calling party numbers and redirecting numbers and the related monitoring statistics.

### **Act on Electronic Communications Services**

#### **Section 99 Traficom numbering regulation**

According to subsection 2 of this section, the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency may lay down requirements for the type of numbers, codes and prefixes that may be used in telecommunications and the purpose for which they are to be used as well as the geographical area of use for the numbers, codes and prefixes.

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

This Regulation provides for the area of use for Finnish telephone numbers, signalling point codes included in codes and prefixes and for the manner in which numbers must be transferred in the interconnection traffic between telecommunications operators.

## **1.2 EU legislation**

The Directive establishing the European Electronic Communications Code[2] governs the powers of regulatory authorities with regard to interconnection. According to Article 61 of the Directive, national regulatory authorities shall be able to impose obligations on undertakings subject to general authorisation that control access to end-users, to the extent necessary to ensure end-to-end connectivity, or to make their services interoperable. In justified cases, this may also include the obligation to interconnect their networks where this is not already the case.

## **2. Other related regulations and statutes**

### **2.1 Terminal equipment interfaces**

#### **Act on Electronic Communications Services**

##### **Section 243 Quality requirements for a communications network and service**

According to subsection 5 of section 243 in the Act on Electronic Communications Services, telecommunications operators shall publish up-to-date technical specifications on the public communications network interfaces to which telecommunications terminal equipment can be connected. The specifications shall include sufficiently accurate information serving as basis for the manufacture of telecommunications terminal equipment and for using services provided via the interface.

This interface for terminal equipment can be considered to be part of the user-to-network interface referred to in this Regulation.

### **2.2 Applications of the transmission of subscription number information**

#### **Act on Electronic Communications Services**

##### **Section 79 Collection of telecommunications fees from end-users**

According to subsection 2 of this section, information on the subscriber connection number of the party liable to pay shall be transmitted during the period of telecommunication. If the transmission of the subscriber number is not technically possible, the telecommunications operator that has entered into the subscriber connection agreement has an obligation to supply the information necessary for billing to another telecommunications operator or, if this is not possible, to collect the fees without a charge.

#### **Act on Electronic Communications Services**

##### **Section 132 Subscriber connection identification**

According to subsection 1 of this section, a telecommunications operator shall offer a calling line identification service for identification of incoming calls prior to answering. However, it must be possible to prevent displaying the caller's number on the receiving subscriber's telephone.

According to subsection 2, a telecommunications operator offering a calling line identification service shall offer subscribers an easy way of barring:

- 1) identification of his or her subscriber connection;

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

- 2) identification of the subscriber connections of incoming calls;
- 3) reception of calls whose subscriber connection identification is barred, if this is technically possible without undue cost; and
- 4) identification of the subscriber connection to which incoming calls have been forwarded.

According to subsection 3, a telecommunications operator offering a calling line identification service shall offer the user an easy way of barring subscriber connection identification separately for each outgoing call, at no charge.

### **Act on Electronic Communications Services**

#### **Section 134 Bill itemisation and connection-specific itemisation**

The section lays down provisions on bill itemisation. Bills must itemise, for example, international calls, long-distance calls, mobile network calls, subscriber connection basic rates, SMS, picture messages and other messages, data transfer services and services provided at additional charges as detailed in the section.

Upon request, a telecommunications operator must provide the subscriber with a connection-specific itemisation of a bill in a form where the last three digits of the phone number are obscured or the itemisation otherwise rendered such that the other party of the communication cannot be identified. A telecommunications operator shall, if the user so requests, release the call itemisation of a bill with the complete phone numbers or other traffic data of communications service of the parties to the communication. Traffic data of free-of-charge services shall not be indicated in a connection-specific itemisation of a bill.

The Finnish Transport and Communications Agency may issue further regulations on the content and implementation of itemisation.

This Regulation does not provide more specific provisions concerning the matter, but in section 15 of the explanatory notes the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency provides interpretation guidelines for the anonymisation of SIP addresses in an itemisation provided to the subscriber.

### **Act on Electronic Communications Services**

#### **Section 315 General right of access to information of the authorities**

The Ministry of Transport and Communications, the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency, the Data Protection Ombudsman, the Consumer Ombudsman and other authorities monitoring compliance with the provisions of this Act are entitled to access information necessary to carry out their duties under this Act from anyone whose rights and obligations are governed by this Act or from anyone acting on their behalf.

Anyone whose rights and obligations are governed by this Act or anyone acting on their behalf, shall be obliged, at request, to collect and, notwithstanding secrecy provisions and other restrictions on the disclosure of information, supply to the competent authorities any information necessary to carry out their duties.

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

### **2.3 Technical regulations issued by the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency**

The list corresponds to the situation at the time of publication of these notes. All communications-related regulations issued by the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency are included in the Agency's Series of Regulations[3].

#### **Regulation 32 on public telephone network numbering**

The regulation contains provisions on the numbers, codes and prefixes used in communications networks. The services provided in communications networks require unambiguous numbering. The regulation contains the Finnish national numbering plan and number structures. It also defines codes and prefixes relevant for the technical interoperability of communications networks.

#### **Regulation 35 on barring services for subscriber connections**

The regulation includes provisions on the barring categories for the telecommunications of a subscriber connection in a public telephone network and their technical implementation. According to the regulation, the telephone traffic and short message traffic from a subscriber connection must be grouped into categories in accordance with the regulation, covering traffic to premium rate service numbers. The regulation includes the categorisation of service numbers into service groups I to IV (non-profit services, consulting and ordering, entertainment services and adult entertainment services) based on the first part of a number.

#### **Regulation 67 on information security in telecommunications operations**

The regulation is applied to public telecommunications operations. It contains provisions on information security measures in all communications networks and services, specific information security requirements for interfaces and specific requirements for internet access services and email services and the filtering of text messages and multimedia messages. The regulation also lays down obligations to inform customers about information security measures and certain matters related to internet access services and email services.

### **3. Purpose of the Regulation**

The purpose of this Regulation is to promote the interconnection of different telecommunications operators' communications networks and services as well as the end-to-end interoperability of communications services. The purpose is to prevent problems related to the interconnection of communications networks and services and thereby to promote the introduction of new services.

The Regulation also aims to ensure that telecommunications operators have described their network-to-network (NNI) and user-to-network interfaces (UNI) in sufficient detail. The objective of these documentation obligations is to facilitate the interconnection of communications networks and services and to improve users' opportunities to choose their preferred terminal or software to use a service.

Another objective of the Regulation is to ensure the operability and validity of the telephone network and the transfer of subscription numbers as well as the validity of the message sender information. Number information and its reliability is important, particularly with regard to charging, but also with regard to the call-back option, emergency response authorities, the police, etc. The Regulation also aims to ensure the validity and unambiguity of calling party numbers and redirecting numbers and

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

the call-back capacity of numbers transferred via the user-to-network interface and to prevent number spoofing and related fraud.

#### **4. Drafting process**

The amendments to the Regulation are based on the work of a recommendation working group at the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency and the group's recommendations to telecommunications operators about detecting and preventing caller ID spoofing[4]. Proposed amendments have been discussed in bilateral follow-up meetings between Traficom and telecommunications operators and in joint meetings with operators and service providers during 2024 and in early 2025. This means that key telecommunications operators and service providers have been closely involved in the drafting of the Regulation.

The draft regulation was put out for consultation via the [lausuntopalvelu.fi](https://lausuntopalvelu.fi) website from 5 May 2025 to 6 June 2025. Information on the opportunity to comment on the draft was published on the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency's website at [www.traficom.fi](https://www.traficom.fi).

After the consultation round, the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency organised two further stakeholder workshops (27 August 2025 and 22 October 2025) to discuss the situational picture and the content of the Regulation. From 29 August to 26 September 2025, the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency also invited the sector to submit concrete proposals on the formulation of the obligations by means of four questions. After the workshop held on 22 October 2025, participants still had the opportunity until 31 October 2025 to submit proposals concerning sender information naming practices. Following this, the Regulation was circulated once more via stakeholder distribution for possible questions prior to its adoption.

#### **5. Comments received through consultation and processing them**

During the consultation, the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency received eight comments from the following parties: Digital Forum Finland ry, DNA Plc, Confederation of Finnish Industries (EK), Elisa Corporation, FiCom ry, Suomen Telemarkkinointiliitto ry, Telia Finland Oyj and Twilio Inc. With the exception of the comments submitted by Twilio and DNA, the comments are available for viewing at [lausuntopalvelu.fi](https://lausuntopalvelu.fi).

A total of six stakeholders responded to the additional consultation held from 29 August to 26 September 2025: Arena Interactive Oy, Digital Forum Finland ry, DNA Plc, Elisa Corporation, Telia Finland Oyj and Ålands Telekommunikation Ab. The following parties responded to the feedback round held from 22 to 31 October 2025: Arena Interactive Oy, Digital Forum Finland ry, DNA Plc and LINK Mobility Ltd. Finally, DNA Plc and LINK Mobility Ltd also submitted comments on the Regulation.

During the early summer consultation round, the sector did not yet have a shared situational picture of the problem and the necessary measures, and the consultation raised, inter alia, concerns that the Regulation would cause undue detriment to messaging business operations and weaken the consumer experience. Telecommunications operators also considered that aggregators should be responsible for verifying the rights to use sender IDs of their own customers and felt that the transitional period should be longer. Attention was also drawn to the interpretation of the definitions of the public international interface and application programming interfaces.

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

In the comments, it was hoped that the content of the Regulation would be further prepared in cooperation with the sector, and this approach was followed after the consultation round. In the subsequent drafting, the concerns raised during the consultation were resolved and the content of the Regulation was jointly accepted. The selected model seeks above all to ensure that it does not unnecessarily restrict business activities and that end users can continue to use all appropriate sender IDs.

The Finnish Transport and Communications Agency would not have had the resources to implement the centralised registration of sender IDs by the authority as proposed by Telia Finland Oyj, and the model selected was the telecommunications operator- and aggregator-based authorisation model for sender IDs, which was broadly supported by the other respondents. The Regulation defines only a high-level regulatory framework and, as agreed with the sector, the more detailed processes and their specifics are defined through industry self-regulation under the leadership of Digital Forum Finland ry.

Finally, DNA Plc and LINK Mobility Ltd raised concerns about the blocking of messages sent using Finnish telephone numbers and the potential problems this could cause. In the view of the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency, telecommunications operators and aggregators are able to identify Finnish telephone numbers used in application programming interfaces and to contact the customers using them so that the necessary verification of the right to use the numbers can be carried out within the transitional period. In the first phase, five months are allocated for this authorisation of numbers, after which the sender ID of the message is changed to the form "Tuntematon" (Unknown).

In the view of the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency, organisations using Finnish telephone numbers also have the willingness themselves to take the necessary measures promptly. When corresponding blocks were implemented in voice telephony, any potential problems were resolved quickly and, overall, there were very few issues, even though, with regard to the public international interface, customers could not be identified and contacted, unlike in the case of application programming interfaces. The Agency believes that most of the remaining issues should come to light when the sender ID begins to be converted on 4 May 2026, after which operators will still have six months to complete the authorisation of the remaining numbers before the blocking obligation enters into force. The sector therefore has sufficient time to put the authorisation arrangements in place, and this will also be supported by active communications.

The transitional periods set out in the Regulation were extended on the basis of the comments received.

## **6. Changes and impact assessment**

The purpose of this chapter is to give the readers of the Regulation an overview of the key changes made to the Regulation, an estimate of the impacts of the Regulation and additional information about the revision history of the Regulation.

### **6.1 Key changes**

An obligation has been added to section 10 of the Regulation requiring the telecommunications operator of the originating network to ensure that the calling party number it transfers in the origination of text messages, multimedia messages and RCS messages is valid and unambiguous. Section 10.3 of the Regulation has also been amended to include the possibility of blocking messages.

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

The telecommunications operator must block any text messages, multimedia messages and RCS messages coming from the public international interface in which the calling party number is an alphanumeric sender ID. When the calling party number of a text message coming from the public international interface is a short message service number (SMS number), the telecommunications operator must change it to "Tuntematon" (Unknown) or block the message.

In application programming interfaces, a telecommunications operator must ensure that it knows the sender of a text message, multimedia message or RCS message, that the sender has the right to use the Finnish calling party number used, that any alphanumeric calling party number it transfers is not misleading and that the alphanumeric calling party number is clearly related to the activity carried out by the sender of the message. With regard to its direct contractual partners that use the application programming interface, the telecommunications operator may meet this requirement by contractually obliging them to comply with the relevant requirements.

If the telecommunications operator is unable to verify the right to use the calling party number, it must first change the calling party number to the form "Tuntematon" (Unknown) or block the message. From 2 November 2026 onwards the telecommunications operator must block messages in which the calling party number is a Finnish telephone number and block messages with an alphanumeric sender ID or alternatively change the alphanumeric sender ID to the form "Roskaposti" (Spam).

The telecommunications operator must also have effective and appropriate procedures to intervene in situations where a contractual partner that it has obliged to ensure compliance with the requirements is unable to manage the authorisation process for sender IDs in the manner required by the Regulation.

Statistical obligations concerning application programming interfaces have been added to section 11, requiring the telecommunications operator to keep statistics on authorised alphanumeric sender IDs for its own customers and for its contractual partners, and to keep monthly statistics on the number of messages blocked and on the number of messages in which the telecommunications operator has changed the calling party number displayed in the message.

## **6.2 Assessment of the impacts of the Regulation**

The spoofing of the originating number of text messages and the use of misleading sender IDs are techniques widely exploited by criminals and remain a significant societal problem. The new measures set out in this Regulation make the use of Finnish telephone numbers and alphanumeric sender IDs for fraud attempts very difficult, while also increasing the risk of criminals being detected, and can therefore be expected to significantly reduce the risk of citizens becoming victims of crime.

The arrangement requires changes in the mobile network operators' own mobile networks. This will result in costs for telecommunications operators, but according to Traficom's assessment there is no reason to suspect that the changes would be particularly difficult or costly. The Regulation leaves telecommunications operators discretion as to the measures applied to messages, allowing them, for example, also to block messages where changing the sender ID is not possible in their network for a particular technology such as MMS messages.

Digital Forum Finland ry, together with its member companies, has been actively involved in the preparation of the amendment to the Regulation, and it is not

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

expected that this change will cause major problems for organisations sending text messages.

The identification of users and the authorisation of the sender IDs and Finnish telephone numbers in use change operating practices and create additional work both for telecommunications operators and for their contractual partners that deliver traffic into their networks, but the procedure at the same time significantly improves the reliability of the messaging services provided by telecommunications operators and thereby also supports business activities. The introduction of similar models is taking place widely at the international level, and the need for such measures is broadly shared.

In the discussions with various parties during the drafting process, no one expressed any views that would give reason to suspect that the related costs would be unreasonable in relation to the benefits gained with the Regulation. As the more detailed processes and specifics are defined through industry self-regulation, the sector itself has full opportunity to establish procedures that are effective and as cost-efficient as possible.

The statistical obligation creates the situational awareness requested by the sector regarding the use of sender IDs in fraud attempts and facilitates the investigation of any fraudulent messages that may have passed through.

### **6.3 Version history**

#### Regulation 28 K/2022 M

A new obligation has been added to section 10 of the Regulation on blocking text messages coming from the public international interface when the calling party number is a Finnish telephone number. When the calling party number of a text message coming from the public international interface is an SMS number, the telecommunications operator must change it to "Tuntematon" (Unknown) and no text messages with this identifier will be received from the public international interface.

Likewise, a new obligation on blocking text messages coming from application programming interfaces and international interfaces has been added to the Regulation when the sender of the message is a protected SMS Sender ID, if their sender is not verified as the legal person that has registered said ID.

#### Regulation 28 J/2022 M

References to sections have been amended to correspond to the Act on Electronic Communications Services (917/2014)[1], and the structure of the Regulation and the related explanatory notes has been changed to comply with the new regulation drafting guidelines of the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency. For example, section 1 (Objective of the Regulation) has been omitted, and references to sections and subsections are no longer used. As a result, the former section 5, subsection 3 is now referred to as section 5.3 of the Regulation. The contents of the Regulation and the explanatory notes have also been reorganised.

Section 7 has been added to the Regulation. Its provisions determine the geographical area of use of Finnish telephone numbers to cover Finland. Based on this, the use of Finnish telephone numbers as calling party numbers and redirecting numbers abroad is forbidden. However, the section allows derogations for roaming and situations where traffic is directed to Finland so that the subscriber using a Finnish telephone

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

number can be identified and the subscriber's right to use the telephone number can be verified.

In section 10, new obligations have been added requiring calls terminating from the public international interface to be blocked when the calling party number is clearly incorrect or the calling party number is a Finnish telephone number and the situation does not involve roaming. Instead of blocking a call, calling line identification is restricted when the redirecting number is an international telephone number and the calling party number is a Finnish telephone number or the calling party number begins with 0435. These new obligations do not apply when the called party number is a Finnish mobile station roaming number (MSRN).

A new section, section 11, has also been added to the Regulation. It contains provisions on the compilation of monthly statistics on calls terminating from the public international interface and the related measures implemented in accordance with the Regulation.

#### Regulation 28 I/2014

References to sections have been amended to correspond to the Information Society Code (917/2014)[1], and the structure of the Regulation and the related explanatory notes has been changed to comply with the new regulation drafting guidelines of the Finnish Communications Regulatory Authority (FICORA).

The objective of the Regulation has been added as the first section to the Regulation, and the information security requirements included in sections 3 and 4 of the Regulation have been transferred to a new regulation on information security in telecommunications operations (FICORA 67/2014 M)[5].

Provisions on obligations regarding the documentation of network-to-network interfaces (NNI) between telecommunications operators and user-to-network interfaces (UNI) that were previously included in the documentation regulation (FICORA 41 D/2009 M) that is to be repealed have been included in the Regulation. The requirements have also been specified in some more detail.

The exception concerning corporate subscriptions that was included in the previous regulation allows a telecommunications operator to use, under certain conditions, a number received from a corporate subscription and not belonging to its own number space as the calling party number. The scope of this exception was extended to cover all subscriptions.

#### Regulation 28 H/2010

The regulation incorporated the requirements for the interoperability of communications networks and services that were previously included in two different regulations. The regulation replaced the following old regulations:

- Regulation on interconnectivity, interoperability and signalling in communications networks (FICORA 28 G/2010 M)
- Regulation on transfer of subscriber's number information in communications networks (FICORA 49 D/2010 M).

Numerous amendments were made to the requirements. Virtually all the requirements, or at least their explanations and application guidelines, were

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

amended. Numerous PSTN/ISDN-based requirements were removed since they were no longer necessary. On the other hand, all-new requirements pertaining to communications networks and services were added to the regulation. The regulation's scope of application was expanded to comprehensively cover IP-based communications networks and services.

Passages pertaining to all IP-based communications networks and services were transferred to the regulation from FICORA Regulation 13 on information security and functionality of Internet access services. In sections 5 to 10, the IP perspective was related to the consideration of VoIP services in particular.

Summary of key amendments:

A new general section (section 3) on interconnectivity, interoperability and information security in communications networks and services was added to the regulation. It required telecommunications operators to define interface descriptions in accordance with which other telecommunications operators can connect their communications networks or services to their network. Section 3 of the regulation also laid down new requirements for the information security and disruption tolerance and prevention related to user-to-network and network-to-network interfaces.

Requirements from Regulation 13 were moved to the regulation's new section 4, concerning IP network-to-network interfaces. The goal of this amendment was to extend the application of the requirements for IP address hygiene/control to other services as well as the internet access service.

Section 9 included an exception for corporate subscriptions, according to which a telecommunications operator can use, under certain conditions, a number received from a corporate subscription and not belonging to its own number space as the calling party number. The telecommunications operator must also ensure the reliability of charging in this event.

The requirements regarding the conveyance of a calling party number with international connections, provided in the previous regulation version (FICORA 49 D/2010 M, section 5), was omitted from the regulation.

The requirements regarding the attachment of identifiers to a calling party number, provided in the previous regulation version (FICORA 49 D/2010 M, section 6), were omitted from the regulation. These were replaced with a new requirement stating that a calling party number must be conveyed to the called subscriber in a format that enables call-backs and the receipt of messages.

The requirements laid down in section 8 of Regulation 28 G/2010 M regarding the music played alongside the call tone were also simplified. According to the new regulation, the service user was no longer required to specify the subscribers to whom music is played. Similarly, the requirement for telecommunications operators to ensure that users are aware of the existence of the service was omitted.

A new requirement was added to section 10 of the regulation; according to this requirement, calling line identification must be indicated as restricted for calls from premium rate service numbers belonging to service groups II to IV.

Additionally, the requirements regarding the handling of a connected subscription's number, provided in the previous regulation version (FICORA 49 D/2010 M, sections 7 and 8), were omitted from the regulation. This requirement was omitted since the

service in question is not in use.

## **Detailed rationale and guidelines for application**

### **Chapter 1 General provisions**

#### **1. Scope of application**

The Regulation applies to public communications networks and services. Apart from chapter 2, the Regulation also applies to public authority networks. Chapters 3 and 4 of the Regulation apply to communications services provided in the telephone network. According to section 3, paragraph 43 of the Act on Electronic Communications Services, a public communications network means a communications network used to provide communications services to a set of users that is not subject to any prior restriction.

Chapter 2 of the Regulation contains provisions on the documentation of interface descriptions concerning public communications networks and the services provided therein. These general requirements are applied in a technology-neutral manner to all public communications networks and communications services.

Chapter 3 of the Regulation includes provisions concerning various factors related to call set-up control and maintenance and the related announcements and tones provided for the user.

Chapter 4 of the Regulation includes provisions on the use of numbers and other identifiers as the calling party number, on the transmission and display of a number during a connection and on measures to ensure the validity of the calling party number and the redirecting number. This also covers the measures to ensure the correctness of the calling party number in text messages, multimedia messages and RCS messages. The provisions of the Regulation concerning RCS messages shall be applied when a telecommunications operator provides an RCS service.

The provisions of chapters 3 and 4 apply to a communications service provided in a telephone network, as defined in section 2.1.4 of the Regulation. The scope of application of chapters 3 and 4 also covers one-way voice services and messages transmitted over the telephone network (including text, multimedia and RCS messages and faxes).

When it comes to communications networks incorporated as a part of a public communications network or connected to a public communications network, their interconnection, interoperability and signalling in relation to the public communications network are not mentioned in the Regulation's scope of application.

Networks connected to a public communications network refer to customers' own communications networks such as buildings' internal networks and companies' own exchange and telecommunications networks. The requirements concerning networks connected to a public communications network are included in the requirements concerning user-to-network interfaces in a public communications network.

The Regulation addresses interconnection in a technical sense, meaning the requirements apply to the interconnection of communications networks and services irrespective of the legal background of the interconnection.

## **2. Definitions**

This section discusses in detail the definitions used in the Regulation.

### **2.1 User-to-network interface**

In this Regulation, user-to-network interface (UNI) refers to an interface through which the communications network, terminal or application of a customer of a telecommunications operator is connected to a public communications network. In the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency's other texts, this interface may also be referred to as a customer interface.

### **2.2 Network-to-network interface**

In this Regulation, network-to-network interface (NNI) means an interface for interconnection between telecommunications operators' communications networks or services. In the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency's other texts, this interface may also be referred to as an interconnection interface.

### **2.3 Public telephone network**

This Regulation uses the same definition of 'public telephone network' as other regulations issued by the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency. Public telephone network means a mobile network or a fixed telephone network intended for a set of users that is not subject to any prior restriction and in which the numbering in accordance with Recommendation E.164[6] of the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) is used.

### **2.4 Public authority network**

This Regulation uses the same definition of 'public authority network' as is given in section 3, paragraph 39a of the Act on Electronic Communications Services: public authority network means a communications network built for the needs of government measures and state security, military defence, public order and security, border control, emergency operations, maritime search and rescue operations, emergency response centre activities, immigration, social and health care emergency services, rail transport security or civil defence.

### **2.5 Communication service**

This Regulation uses the same definition of 'communications service' as is given in section 3, paragraph 37 of the Act on Electronic Communications Services: communications service means a service consisting wholly or mainly of the conveyance of communications in a communications network, a transmission and broadcasting service in a mass communications network, as well as an interpersonal communications service.

### **2.6 Communications service provided in a telephone network**

In this Regulation, a communications service provided in a telephone network means a communications service that enables a user to make and receive calls or send and receive messages by using a number or numbers in a national or international numbering plan. What is essential in this definition is that it refers to a connection made or routed using an E.164 number[6] or a number defined by a national authority, such as an SMS number. Therefore, the definition also covers one-way voice services as well as fax and text message services.

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

The definition is worded to avoid contradictions or overlap with the definitions and terms used in the law and directives. That is why this Regulation does not make reference to the term 'public telephone service', which is defined in section 3, paragraph 42 of the Act on Electronic Communications Services to mean a communications service used to make and receive national and international calls using a number in a national or international numbering plan. The definition used in this Regulation also covers VoIP services that are one-way with regard to numbering.

## **2.7 Communications network or service component**

In this Regulation, a communications network or service component means a network element, device or information system which constitutes or is utilised by a communications network or service. Communications network or service components include mobile switching centres, base station controllers, base stations, text message centres, DSLAMs, name servers, network access control servers, switches, routers, SIP application servers and intelligent network components. A communications network or service component does not mean transmission links or parts of devices or network elements, such as processor units of a mobile switching centre.

## **2.8 Finnish telephone number**

In this Regulation, a Finnish telephone number means numbering under the Finnish country code 358 in accordance with Recommendation E.164 of the International Telecommunication Union (ITU).

Carrier access codes, the emergency number and national numbers beginning with 11 (e.g. numbers that begin with 118 and 116) are not E.164 numbers. However, the use of these numbers as the calling party number or redirecting number is not allowed.

## **2.9 Calling party number**

In this Regulation, calling party number means the number of the subscription from which a call originates ("A number") and the number or other identifier shown as the sender of a message. In message traffic, the calling party number may therefore also take the form of an SMS number or, instead of a number, alphanumeric data, which may contain both numbers and letters. In ISUP standards, this parameter is also called "calling party number". In the SIP protocol, calling party number means the number transmitted in the header field P-Asserted-Identity (PAID) but, from the viewpoint of verifying the validity of a number, also the number transmitted in the header field From.

## **2.10 Redirecting number**

In this Regulation, redirecting number means the number from which a call is diverted.

In ISUP standards, this parameter is also called "redirecting number". In the SIP protocol, the header field used to transmit the redirecting number may vary depending on what has been agreed on regarding interconnection (typically Diversion, History-Info).

## **2.11 Called party number**

In this Regulation, called party number means the number of the subscription receiving a call or message ("B number").

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

In the SIP protocol, called party number means the number transmitted in the header field Request-URI.

### **2.12 Public international interface**

In this Regulation, public international interface means an interface through which a telecommunications operator receives terminating interconnection traffic from different originating networks without being able to identify the calling party subscription or the originating network.

In the case of text messages, multimedia messages and RCS messages, a public international interface refers to an interface, if traffic coming from abroad is transmitted through it to subscribers in a Finnish mobile network without a possibility of identifying the sender (e.g. SMS hub).

The definition does not cover the application programming interfaces referred to in section 10.8 of the Regulation, for which the Regulation lays down more detailed rules on the handling of traffic.

### **2.13 Premium rate service number**

Premium rate service numbers mean numbers that can be used to provide services charged by means of the telephone bill. In accordance with the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency's Regulation 35[7], premium rate service numbers are grouped into service groups I to IV (non-profit services, consulting and ordering, entertainment services and adult entertainment services). Annexes 1 and 2 to Regulation 35[7] define how service numbers are categorised in the service groups.

### **2.14 RCS message**

RCS message means a message in accordance with the RCS Universal Profile Service Definition documentation maintained by the GSMA, the international umbrella organisation of mobile operators.

### **2.15 Protected SMS Sender ID**

A protected SMS Sender ID refers to the SMS Sender IDs registered by the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency with a registration decision. An SMS Sender ID is an alphanumeric sender identifier that is in maximum 11 characters long and must follow the 3GPP specification TS23.038 (GSM 7 bit default alphabet)[8]. In some cases, the protocol of the application interface of the Short Message Service Centre supports a character set that is narrower than the standard, and when used internationally, it is recommended that SMS Sender ID only contains the following characters: 0–9, a–z, A–Z and space. A separate guide[10] maintained by Traficom provides more detailed information about the SMS Sender IDs and their registration.

By registering an SMS Sender ID with Traficom, parties that send text messages can protect their SMS Sender IDs and ensure that no other party can use the same SMS Sender ID. After Traficom has registered the SMS Sender ID to be protected with a registration decision, a transition period of 3 months begins. After the transition period, the SMS Sender ID can only be used by the party that registered it. Text messages sent by any other parties using the protected SMS Sender ID will not be distributed in Finland.

In connection with the registration of an SMS Sender ID, Traficom issues an SMS number beginning with 19 to the applicant, which can be used for traffic control and

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

identification in the operator interface, if necessary. The SMS number is not visible to end users, and it must not be published.

An SMS service provider seeking protection can apply for the registration of an SMS Sender ID and the right to use an SMS number by filling out the application form available on Traficom's website at <https://www.traficom.fi/en/applying-short-message-service-sms-sender-id>.

## **2.16 Corporate subscriber**

The Regulation complies with the definition of 'corporate subscriber' laid down in section 3, paragraph 41 of the Act on Electronic Communications Services. According to the Act, corporate subscriber means an undertaking or an organisation which subscribes to a communications service or a value-added service and which processes users' confidential communications, traffic data or location data in its communications network.

## **Chapter 2 General documentation requirements**

### **3. Interface descriptions of communications networks and services**

This section contains provisions on documentation requirements concerning user and network-to-network interfaces applicable to all public communications networks and services.

The requirements laid down in this section are general obligations, the content of which will be further illuminated with examples later in this chapter.

#### **3.1 Interface descriptions: Network-to-network interface (NNI)**

According to the Regulation, telecommunications operators must define interface descriptions according to which another telecommunications operator can connect its communications network or service to their networks and ensure the interoperability of services.

In order to provide end-to-end communications services, it is necessary for telecommunications operators to interconnect their public communications networks and services.

Interconnection and granting of access rights between telecommunications operators are partially regulated by the Act on Electronic Communications Services and the SMP decisions issued under it, while also being partially based on commercial contracts in which measures may be subject to a charge or free of charge, especially with regard to IP interconnections. Provisions on obligations concerning interconnection between telecommunications operators are laid down in sections 60 to 66 of the Act on Electronic Communications Services. This Regulation does not even indirectly comment on what type of interconnection obligations result from the legislation.

The objective of this Regulation is to promote the technical implementation of interconnections, particularly in circumstances in which there are no established technical solutions and interconnection requires that the telecommunications operators select options enabling interconnectivity between their networks and services among the alternatives defined in the standards.

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

The definition of interface descriptions promotes practical interconnections between telecommunications operators, regardless of whether it is based on obligation or free will.

#### Application

An interface or service description is a telecommunications operator's description of how a telecommunications operator requesting interconnection can connect to its network. Telecommunications operators must define the aforementioned interface description at a level of specificity that enables technical implementation of interconnection on the basis of that interface description. Additional details depend on the services to be interconnected and the interconnection technology used. Therefore, the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency has deemed it appropriate to leave this matter to be decided by the telecommunications operators themselves. Below are a few examples of interconnection cases and the minimum content of the interface descriptions appropriate to them:

- **Bitstream:** A network operator should determine in the interface description the technology, physical implementation of the interconnection and the available speed classes for both the user-to-network and network-to-network interfaces. Moreover, the interface description should indicate any possible restrictions concerning subscription use (e.g. the number of MAC addresses) and any other appropriate specifics related to the relevant technology dependent on the implementation such as the field used for customer identification (DHCP option 82) and any possible information related to the compatibility of customer terminals.
- **Internet access service (IP transit and peering):** Insofar as the internet access service is concerned, the interface description should determine, for instance, the used network technology, physical implementation of the interconnection, available speed classes and supported IP and routing protocols for the network-to-network interface. In addition to these, the interface description should determine any other possible requirements, restrictions and other relevant details (e.g. AS number) defined for interconnections.
- **VoIP network-to-network interface:** This example looks at a case in which the SIP protocol has been selected for interconnecting telephone services. In this event, at least the following should be determined in the interface description: the network technology implementation of the interconnection (e.g. interconnection over a separate IP connection, traffic exchange point, or the internet), including more detailed technological specifications (see e.g. the previous example), and the employed application protocol (SIP), along with the specifications required for interconnectivity and interoperability (SIP profile). Moreover, the interface description should determine the other requirements or restrictions possibly determined for interconnections.

In addition to the aforementioned details, the interface description should indicate the availability of the product as well as the applied delivery, fault recovery, maintenance and monitoring procedures, including the service level classes available to the telecommunications operator requesting interconnection.

If some centralised service (e.g. FICIX, [www.ficix.fi](http://www.ficix.fi)) is used for interconnection instead of a direct interconnection between two parties, this should be mentioned in the interface description. The requirements or guidelines possibly determined by the centralised service do not, however, have to be reiterated in the description.

## Recommendation

The Finnish Transport and Communications Agency recommends that telecommunications operators publish the interface descriptions on their websites, for instance, so as to make them available to telecommunications operators requesting interconnection.

### **3.2 Interface descriptions: User-to-network interface (UNI)**

According to the Regulation, telecommunications operators must define interface descriptions according to which users can connect their terminals to networks and use the provided communications services. The interface description must comprise all the technical characteristics that affect with which terminal equipment or software the communications service can be used.

The aim has been to make the definition also applicable to communications services used over an IP network, such as email and VoIP services, and to ensure that telecommunications operators are able to tell their customers the requirements that terminals and software must meet for the service to function in the way intended by the telecommunications operator. Another objective of the requirement is to better enable users to use the service with the terminal and/or software of their choice.

## Application

In practice, it is often sufficient that telecommunications operators determine the standard or specification with which the interface complies. If the standard in question allows for different and incompatible implementations, the description should include a more detailed specification.

Telecommunications operators only need to document the interface as regards features relevant for selecting terminals and software compatible with the communications service provided. For example, in relation to mobile network subscriptions, the description should indicate the services, network technologies and frequency bands supported. Telecommunications operators do not need to list various 3GPP standards in the description.

## Publication of interface descriptions

The requirement is also related to the obligation laid down in section 243, subsection 5 of the Act on Electronic Communications Services[1] requiring telecommunications operators to publish specifications on interfaces to which telecommunications terminal equipment may be connected. This publication requirement only concerns telecommunications terminal equipment, but the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency recommends that telecommunications operators also publish those technical requirements for other terminals and software that enable the terminals and software to be connected to their networks and use their communications services.

### **3.3 Recommendations for the interoperability of communications networks and services**

References to key national recommendations concerning the interoperability of communications networks and services are assembled under this section. The requirements and recommendations related to the interconnectivity of telephone services are detailed in section 8.2 of these notes. Chapter 6 includes recommendations for the implementation of SIP services.

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

Recommendations concerning Ethernet-based rental products and their implementation have been published in FICORA's working group report 3/2010 on Ethernet-based rental products[11]. The report includes recommendations for the implementation of bitstream and Metro-Ethernet products.

Recommendations concerning broadband connections' order and delivery processes have been published in FICORA's working group report 3/2006 on practices between operators in processes for broadband connections[12].

### **Chapter 3 Special requirements for communications services provided in a telephone network**

#### **4. Signalling**

This section determines the requirements for signalling in communications services provided in a telephone network.

##### **4.1 Transfer of information required by the obligatory functions in the network-to-network interface**

Under the Regulation, the interconnection traffic between telecommunications operators must be arranged so that the information required by functions that are stated as obligatory in legislation is transferred over the connection interface.

The statutes lay down certain requirements for telecommunications operator functions whose appropriate implementation requires information to be transferred over the network-to-network interface. A list of the relevant provisions is included in chapter 2 "Other related regulations and statutes" of these notes.

Some of the functions may also be implemented in a manner that does not require information to be transferred over the interface. In that event, this requirement will not apply.

The functions covered by the Regulation include, for example, the following:

- charging and the related call itemisation in customer invoicing (if the telecommunications operators have agreed to use a charging method in which charging information is transferred over the network-to-network interface);
- functions related to the calling party's number information, including charging on the basis of the calling party number, tracing of malicious calls, and caller positioning and address searches at emergency response centres.

This is a general requirement that requires adequate information transfer over the network-to-network interface, regardless of interface implementation.

#### Application

In interconnection traffic negotiations, telecommunications operators must ensure that all the relevant information will be transferred over the adopted protocols determined by the telecommunications operators.

Presently, interconnection is primarily based on the ISUP user part of common channel signalling, determined with regard to basic calls in the standards SFS 5779 (ISUP2)[13] and SFS 5869 (ISUP3)[14], and with regard to supplementary services in the standards SFS 5778[15] and SFS 5868[16].

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

Some of the information transferred over the network-to-network interface is included in the various prefixes of the number transferred in the called party's number field. With regard to these, the codes are determined in the FICORA working group report 5/2004 on number portability, fixed telephone network and technical network implementation[17].

If telecommunications operators implement and start to use other signalling methods (e.g. SIP) at the network-to-network interface, they must ensure that the information required by the obligatory functions can be transferred over the interface.

## **4.2 Signalling point codes used in Finland**

According to the Regulation, communications network components that are located in Finland and are connected to a public telephone network by using common channel signalling system must only apply the signalling point codes granted by the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency.

In the common channel signalling system, signalling point codes are used for identifying communications network components connected to the signalling network. By only using codes granted by the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency in components connected to the public network it can be ensured that no two components have the same code and that signalling traffic to and from each component can be correctly channelled in the signalling network.

### Application

The principles for granting signalling point codes are determined in the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency's Regulation 32 on numbering in a public telephone network[18].

Finland's national common channel signalling network forms a single unified network, in which only codes allocated to the national network are used in the network indicator field of the signalling part MTP. This code, determined in section 14.2.2. of ITU-T recommendation Q.704[19], is "Sub-service field Network indicator code 10 National network".

The structure of the international signalling point codes used in the international signalling network is determined in the ITU-T recommendation Q.708[20].

## **5. Timers**

This section determines the requirements for timers in communications services provided in a telephone network.

### **5.1 Call set-up timers**

Under the Regulation, telecommunications operators must implement, in an appropriate manner, the timers necessary for call set-up.

Call set-up signalling reserves various resources in the network during call set-up. In circuit-switched networks the prevention of overlong resource reservations aids in network resource (e.g. voice channels) dimensioning, while preventing the likelihood of call barring. Overlong (non-disconnected) call attempts cause problems in VoIP networks too, if the memory reserved for maintenance of connection status is exceeded. Insofar as these are concerned, the timers must be short enough.

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

From the user's perspective, it is essential that sufficient time has been reserved for call set-up so that call set-up is not unnecessarily prevented due to exceedingly short timers. Since the executor of the shortest timer in the call set-up chain determines the time reserved for call set-up, it is reasonable to determine minimum lengths for the timers.

#### Application

Standard-compliant timers are implemented in the network components participating in call set-up, and these prevent resources from staying reserved in various cases of call-set up failure, while also preventing overly rapid call set-up interruption.

Necessary timers include at least the timer controlling the connection time and the timer controlling the called party's response time. The timer controlling the connection time in circuit-switched networks' ISUP signalling (T7) is 20 to 30 seconds (nominal value 30 seconds) and the timer controlling the called party's response time (T9) is 1.5 to 3 minutes (nominal value 3 minutes). Similar timers' nominal values, observing their ranges, should also be used in other networks.

As regards calls terminating in the mobile network, the timer controlling the called party's response time can, however, be set shorter than the determined minimum value. However, in this event it must be ensured that the timer is long enough to prevent the operation of any service from being blocked due to an overly short timer (e.g. a call does not have the time to be forwarded to voice mail if the timer is too short).

The SIP protocol does not determine timers that are fully compatible with the aforementioned timers. In VoIP solutions utilising the SIP protocol, it is, however, possible to replace the timer controlling the connection time and the timer controlling the called party's response time with SIP protocol timer C (Proxy INVITE transaction timeout)[21].

In adaptation situations, such as cases in which a VoIP service implemented using the SIP protocol is interconnected to a circuit-switched telephone network, each network operates in accordance with its own timers.

Signalling standards and specifications also specify other timers. Some of these are related to resource management and some are necessary with regard to the functionality of signalling. Telecommunications operators must implement the timers necessary for the provided service.

## **5.2 Timers for calls placed to premium rate service numbers**

Under the Regulation, in communications services provided in a telephone network, the telecommunications operator that implements the service must be prepared to implement timers for monitoring conversation time in calls made to premium rate service numbers.

The Regulation requires the telecommunications operator that provides the service provider with a connection to the public telephone network (telecommunications operator implementing the service) to be able to technically implement timers, but does not determine their length or when timers should be used. Functions and services related to the management of the user's call costs concerning call duration fall within the scope of self-regulation.

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

This matter is discussed in more detail in the Basic Set of Norms for Providing Premium Telephone Services that can be found on the website of MAPEL, the Ethical Committee for Premium Rate Services ([www.mapel.fi](http://www.mapel.fi))[22]. Section 24 of the set of norms, based on the sector's self-regulation, determines that the longest allowed call duration in the service groups III and IV of premium rate service numbers is 30 minutes, unless the service is continued by an active proceeding by the user.

#### Application

A timer is activated in communications networks upon activation of the answer signal when calling specifically determined service numbers. Expiry of the timer releases the call.

## **6. Tones, announcements and ringing signals**

### **6.1 Tones, announcements and ringing signals used in telephone services**

Under the Regulation, tones, announcements and ringing signals complying with standards SFS 5876[23] and SFS 5749 [24] must be used in communications services provided in a telephone network in order to advise the user on different network modes related to call set-up.

Providing advice for users regarding communications networks' various modes is beneficial for the network and user alike. When the user is informed about the reason blocking call set-up, they can alter their course of action at the call attempt, for instance. For example, if they hear the announcement "the number you have dialled is not in service", they can check the number dialled. It is crucial to users that the tones and announcements regarding network modes are consistent in as many situations as possible. It is also beneficial to the network that users do not burden the network with repeated erroneous call attempts.

#### Application

The standard SFS 5876[23] determines a set of situations and the tones to be used in them; similarly, SFS 5749[24] determines a set of situations along with the announcements to be used in them. Telecommunications operators must use the specified tones and announcements in the situations determined in the standards. The standard SFS 5749 also explains the general principles of using tones and announcements. The standard SFS 5749 does not include the mapping of SIP responses to ISUP cause codes. Insofar as these are concerned, mapping is determined in the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency's recommendation 201/2014 S[25], based on the ITU-T Recommendation Q.1912.5[26]. Recommendation Q.1912.5 determines the various SIP profiles (A, B and C) and the relevant mapping of cause codes. IETF has determined similar mappings in specification RFC 3398[27].

The various ringing signals are determined in standard SFS 5876[23]. Insofar as mobile subscriptions and VoIP subscriptions are concerned, information of incoming calls is transferred to the terminal in signalling, and the terminal indicates incoming calls on the basis of this information. The terminal can indicate incoming calls in a number of ways, and the Regulation does not impose any requirements on terminal operation.

## **6.2 Other tones and announcements used in telephone services**

Under the Regulation, any other signals and announcements than those related to call set-up in accordance with subsection 1 must also be unambiguous, clear and distinguishable from one another.

For instance, a telecommunications operator may deem it necessary to adopt a tone or announcement that is not determined in the standards in connection with the introduction of new services. In order to prevent these tones and announcements from being mistaken for standard-compliant tones and announcements or others adopted by the telecommunications operator, they must be unambiguous, clear and distinguishable from one another.

### Application

When a telecommunications operator adopts a new tone or announcement that is not included in the standards, it must ensure, using such measures as user tests, that it will not be mistaken for other tones and announcements already in use.

## **6.3 Use of music and similar alongside ringing tone**

Under the Regulation, telecommunications operators can offer their subscribers a service where, when calling to a number subscribing to the service, the calling party hears, in addition to the standard-compliant ringing tone, music or something similar selected by the subscriber of the service. The standard-compliant ringing tone must be clearly heard alongside other sounds.

Music or similar sounds can be offered to replace a ringing tone if it does not cause problems to users. Users must have a clear understanding that they hear a ringing tone related specifically to the service and not, for instance, call queuing music. If ringing tones were only music, it would be impossible to differentiate between ringing tones and call queuing music.

### Application

A ringing tone complying with the standard SFS 5876[23], at the volume determined in the standard, must be used alongside music or similar sounds. The music must be adjusted to a volume ensuring that the standard-compliant ringing tone is clearly audible.

Elements such as birdsong and a train whistle, for instance, also count as music and similar sounds. However, marketing content, for example, is not allowed.

## **Chapter 4 The use, transfer and validity of subscription numbers**

### **7. The use of Finnish numbers as the calling party number**

According to the Regulation, the geographical area of use of Finnish telephone numbers is Finland. The use of Finnish telephone numbers as calling party numbers and redirecting numbers abroad is forbidden.

As a rule, numbers, codes and prefixes granted in accordance with numbering decisions are intended for the provision of services within the territory of Finland. Nonetheless, terminating traffic from abroad includes a significant number of calls in which the calling party number is a Finnish telephone number. These calls may include genuine calls in which the caller has the right to use the number in question,

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

but in most cases the numbers can be assumed to be spoofed and their use unauthorised.

Caller ID spoofing or spoofing a calling party number means disguising the number where the call originates as a Finnish number. This technique is widely used by criminals to increase the likelihood of victims answering international scam calls. The Finnish National Bureau of Investigation has reported receiving numerous reports about scam calls like the above in 2020 and 2021. In the cases reported, caller ID spoofing to disguise the calling party number as a Finnish one has been a key element of the scam.

Measures to address the problem have been discussed by the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency's recommendation working group. The group prepared a recommendation to telecommunications operators on detecting and preventing caller ID spoofing[4]. One of its key proposals is forbidding the use of Finnish telephone numbers as calling party numbers and redirecting numbers abroad by way of a regulation issued by the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency.

#### Application

The use of Finnish telephone numbers as calling party numbers and redirecting numbers abroad is forbidden. However, according to the Regulation, the use of Finnish telephone numbers is allowed in the following two cases:

- 1) from mobile network subscriptions while roaming abroad when the subscription has a Finnish mobile network subscriber number or a subscriber number that begins with 0299, or
- 2) when traffic is directed to Finland so that the subscriber using a Finnish telephone number can be identified and the subscriber's right to use the telephone number can be verified in accordance with sections 10.1 and 10.2 of the Regulation.

In roaming, the primary area of use of the number is Finland, and the intention is to allow users to make calls with their own mobile numbers also when travelling abroad. It should be noted that the exception only concerns subscriber numbers in mobile networks and subscriber numbers that begin with 0299. Therefore, the use of nationwide subscriber numbers, for example, is not allowed while roaming abroad.

Under the Regulation, the use of Finnish telephone numbers is also allowed when traffic is directed to Finland so that the subscriber using a Finnish telephone number can be identified and the subscriber's right to use the telephone number can be verified in accordance with sections 10.1 and 10.2 of the Regulation. In this context, it is irrelevant whether the customer is physically located in Finland or abroad. The originating telecommunications operator can identify the customer and validate the number in both cases, and there is no need to apply the prohibition.

Thus, for example, corporate switchboards and call centres may be physically located abroad and still use Finnish telephone numbers as long as the traffic is directed to Finland via a dedicated traffic route so that a customer or telecommunications operator using Finnish numbers can be identified and the telecommunications operator of the originating network can comply with Regulation 28.

## **8. Transfer of subscription numbers**

### **8.1 Transferring a subscription number at the network-to-network interface**

Under the Regulation, the calling party number and, in the case of call forwarding, the redirecting number must be transferred between telecommunications operators in communications services provided in a telephone network.

Moreover, section 8.2 of the Regulation states that the calling party number and, in the case of call forwarding, the redirecting number must be transferred in an international format at the network-to-network interface, unless the signalling can indicate whether the format of the number is a national (significant) number or an international number.

Calling party numbers can be utilised in many communications network services (e.g. number display, charging) and many official functions are based on it (e.g. address search and positioning at emergency response centres in connection with emergency calls). In the case of call forwarding, the transferred subscription number can be used for charging, for instance. Due to this, it must be required that the numbers are transferred between telecommunications operators, in which case they can be further transferred to the application using them. The transferred number should be as unambiguous as possible.

The ISUP standards define the method for transferring the calling party number and, in the case of call forwarding, the redirecting number. Subscription numbers can be transferred in their national or international formats, depending on the case.

The SIP protocol provides numerous alternative header fields for number information transfer, and the number can be displayed in different formats in the fields. Different parties may interpret the standards in a different fashion, in which event the recipient of number information may find it difficult to interpret in which header and format the information required for call transfer is presented.

#### Application

Telecommunications operators must transfer the calling party number and, in the case of call forwarding, the redirecting number in the telephone network, if these are available. Both numbers are, however, not always available in incoming calls from switchboards or abroad.

When using ISUP-based signalling, the transfer of subscription numbers over the telecommunications operator interface is determined in the ISUP standards [13]...[16]. In accordance with the ISUP standards, the parameter "calling party number" is used and the parameter "redirecting number" is used in connection with call forwarding.

In the SIP protocol, calling party number means the number transmitted in the header field P-Asserted-Identity (PAID) but, from the viewpoint of verifying the validity of a number, also the number transmitted in the header field From. In the SIP protocol, the header field used to transmit the redirecting number may vary depending on what has been agreed on regarding interconnection (typically Diversion, History-Info). With regard to the SIP protocol, this matter is discussed in more detail below in section 8.2.

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

The calling party number and, in the case of call forwarding, the redirecting number must be transferred in an international format at the network-to-network interface, unless the signalling can indicate whether the format of the number is a national (significant) number or an international number.

In order to ensure the validity and unambiguity of a number, telecommunications operators must agree on the other details of subscription number transfer.

## **8.2 Recommendations for the transfer of subscription numbers in the SIP protocol**

With regard to VoIP interconnection, the transfer of subscription numbers, and by extension caller identities, is not unambiguously determined in the standards. The matter is discussed in the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency's Recommendation 201/2014 S[25]. The purpose of the recommendation is to provide telecommunications operators with a default value that should be followed, unless expressly otherwise agreed by telecommunications operators.

The recommendation defines, for example, the transfer of calling party numbers, redirecting numbers and called party numbers and the related fields and parameters, including the formation of a routing number. The recommendation also covers the transfer of calling line identification restrictions at the interface for interconnection traffic.

## **8.3 Changing a subscription number**

According to the Regulation, the calling party number and the redirecting number must not, in principle, be changed when being transferred via the communications network.

The validity of the calling party number and the redirecting number requires that the number must not be changed when being transferred via the communications network in signalling, excluding separately determined situations.

### Application

In signalling, as a rule, the content of the calling party number field and the redirecting number field is not changed in network components. Exceptions include the transfer of the calling party number to the customer subscription, in which case it can be altered in accordance with section 9.1 of the Regulation, as well as various intelligent network solutions, including number portability, in which the directory number serves as the subscriber identifier, instead of the actual routing number that identifies the technical subscription. In some cases of adaptation, a number format conversion between international and national significant numbers can be performed in the network. Moreover, the calling party number can be changed to another number controlled by a given telecommunications operator and agreed with the customer in various types of number changing services and, for instance, the multi-SIM service.

When the calling party number of a text message coming from the public international interface is an SMS number, the telecommunications operator must change it to "Tuntematon" (Unknown) in the alphanumeric format in accordance with section 10.7 of the Regulation.

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

## **9. Transfer of a calling party number at the user-to-network interface**

### **9.1 Transfer of a calling party number in a format enabling call-back**

According to the Regulation, in call services, calling party numbers must be transferred to the called party in a format that enables call-back.

The basic idea of the CLIP supplementary service (calling line identification presentation), provided for called parties is for the called party to be directly able to see the number in a manner enabling call-back.

#### Application

The number transferred at the user-to-network interface may be different, depending on the call type and network. It may be possible to transfer the calling party number in the national or international format, with either the 00 or + prefix used for indicating the international format. In a SIP-based network it may be possible to transfer even name-format SIP addresses, provided that they enable call-back or a response message to be sent.

There are therefore various options, and their usefulness is largely dependent on the used network solution and terminals' ability to handle addresses in various formats. Because of this, telecommunications operators have been given the opportunity to decide on the specific calling party transfer format, as long as this requirement is fulfilled.

### **9.2 Procedures in the case of premium rate service numbers**

In the early 2000s, FICORA discovered cases in which premium rate service numbers belonging to service groups II to IV were used as calling party numbers for advertising purposes. Customers had been called by means of, presumably, automatic calling systems in such a manner that the service numbers had been registered in the memory of the called party telephones. This was done to lure called parties to call back to premium rate service numbers without them having ordered a service themselves.

The aforementioned cases of misuse have not been seen with premium rate service numbers belonging to service group I. Services, the nature of which would require the display of premium rate service numbers to called parties, do not exist in service groups II to IV.

Service number holders have considered it important that subscription numbers corresponding to service numbers are not revealed to users. Subscription numbers could be used to call service numbers without the service fee associated with the service number. Due to this, service providers should have the opportunity to decide whether to restrict the presentation when using a subscription number.

#### Application

In the case of calls originating from premium rate service numbers in service groups II to IV, the originating telecommunications operator may set either the subscription number or service number of the subscription in question as the calling party number. In the case of service numbers, the number presentation is always indicated as restricted, and in the case of subscription numbers, the number presentation is indicated as restricted when the service provider so wishes.

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

## **10. Validity of a subscription number**

### **10.1 Ensuring the validity of a number**

According to the Regulation, the telecommunications operator of the originating network must ensure that the calling party number it transfers in call origination and in the origination of text messages, multimedia messages and RCS messages (see section 2.9), and, in the case of call forwarding, the redirecting number (see section 2.10) is valid and unambiguous.

This obligation is further specified in the second subsection as follows: If the telecommunications operator of the call originating network uses as the calling party number in call origination a number that it does not administrate, it must ensure that the subscriber has an unambiguous right to use the number. However, even in this case, the telecommunications operator must ensure the reliability of charging.

As a rule, telecommunications operators must ensure the validity of numbers received from the user-to-network interface. The parallel use of numbers requires caution and careful consideration because it involves the risk that the number is not or will cease to be assigned to the subscriber. This may result in a situation where the wrong party is charged for the call or the service used via the call.

Because of the numerous applications of the calling party number and, in the case of call forwarding, the redirecting number, it is reasonable to require the number that is transferred over the telecommunications operator interface to be valid and unambiguous. In some cases, the valid number associated with charging and with call-back is different. In these cases, as a rule, the number to be transferred should be the one that is valid with regard to charging.

Multinational companies, in particular, have cross-border VoIP networks in which they wish to route calls to the public telephone network via a corporate subscription in the correct target country's public telephone network. Their goal may be, for instance, to save on international call costs, while also enabling call-back directly to the mobile phone instead of the PBX. Calls made from international numbers and domestic mobile phone numbers connected to a PBX may be directed from the corporate subscription to the public telephone network.

Regularly blocking number presentation or altering the calling party number into the PBX's call number would, however, contradict the user's interests, so the Regulation is based on the presumption that a telecommunications operator may use a calling party number it has received from a subscription in an unchanged form as a calling party number, if this number can be unambiguously connected to a certain subscription. The application guidance examines in more detail the telecommunications operator's various options for verifying the reliability of charging and for combating the misuse of numbers and other identifiers.

#### Application

The use of numbers other than those administered by a telecommunications operator requires an agreement with the subscriber. The telecommunications operator can verify the subscriber's right to use the number in question from the telecommunications operator administering the number, unless the matter can be reliably verified from directory information or an agreement and invoice presented by the subscriber.

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

A telecommunications operator receiving a number from another telecommunications operator cannot verify the validity of that number. Therefore, in practice, the Regulation requires a telecommunications operator administering a subscription to set the calling party number or redirecting number to signalling in outgoing calls as well as text, multimedia and RCS messages from the subscription, while also being responsible for the validity of the number. The telecommunications operator administering a redirecting number is responsible for the validity of the redirecting number.

If a telecommunications operator uses in call origination a calling party number it has received from a subscription and that number does not belong to the operator's number space, the telecommunications operator must ensure with the subscriber customer or the telecommunications operator who administers the number that the number can unambiguously be associated with a certain subscription of the subscriber in question.

If the telecommunications operator cannot guarantee the validity of the calling party number received from a subscription, it must employ other methods to ensure that a calling party number that cannot potentially be billed or is otherwise erroneous does not endanger the reliability of charging. Telecommunications operators may ensure the reliability of charging by, for instance:

- indicating the calling line identification as restricted;
- replacing the calling party number with the identifying number of the subscription in question (switch call number in the case of PBXs);
- indicating that the call is diverted and indicating the identifying number of the subscription in question as the redirecting number (switch call number in the case of PBXs).

In the case of calls diverted by a PBX, the PBX should transfer both the original calling party number and the switch number as the redirecting number. If the PBX is unable to transfer both numbers, it should only transfer the switch number. If, however, the PBX is able to signal that the call is being forwarded, it can transfer the original calling party number as well.

## **10.2 Measures at the user-to-network interface**

According to section 10.3 of the Regulation, if the calling party numbers received by the telecommunications operator are regularly incorrect, the telecommunications operator must, in call origination, restrict calling line identification in the outgoing signalling irrespective of the indicator value for identification restriction it has received. In similar situations concerning text message, multimedia message and RCS message services, the telecommunications operator of the originating network must change the calling party number into a number to which calls cannot be returned and reply messages cannot be sent or block the message.

Because of the numerous applications of the calling party number and, in the case of call forwarding, the redirecting number, it is reasonable to require the number that is transferred over the telecommunications operator interface to be valid and unambiguous.

Application

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

When the calling party numbers received by the telecommunications operator are regularly incorrect, the telecommunications operator must restrict calling line identification in the signalling. If the telecommunications operator receives incorrect numbers from a shared PBX, the telecommunications operator may need to block the call attempt instead of simply indicating the calling line identification presentation restricted.

If the presentation is set as restricted, the calling party number is transferred in telecommunications operator networks but the number is not transferred over the user-to-network interface. The only exception to this rule is the authorities receiving emergency calls; calling party numbers are transferred to them despite the value of the restriction indicator.

Calling line identification cannot be indicated as restricted in text message, multimedia message or RCS message services, so the telecommunications operator must change the calling party number into a number to which calls cannot be returned and reply messages cannot be sent. In this case, the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency recommends that telecommunications operators should change the calling party number to the alphanumeric identifier "Tuntematon" (Unknown) so that the practice would correspond to the processing of messages arriving via the public international interface. The Regulation also allows telecommunications operators to block a message, for example where, for a particular technology such as MMS messages, they do not have the capability to convert sender IDs.

### **10.3 Measures at the international interface**

According to section 10.4 of the Regulation, telecommunications operators must block calls terminating from the public international interface when the calling party number is clearly incorrect or the calling party number is a Finnish telephone number and the situation does not involve roaming as referred to in section 7.2.1.

The purpose of this course of action is to prevent users from becoming victims to international crime (the problem is discussed in more detail in section 7), which is why the calls must be blocked instead of connecting them forward with calling line identification restricted. This stricter rule set for public international interfaces is justified because number spoofing is very rare in national traffic and fraudulent calls are nearly always made from abroad.

It is also worth noting that when a call terminates in Finland through an interconnection interface for international traffic, the receiving telecommunications operator cannot identify the caller or verify whether the caller has the right to use the calling party number indicated. This is why the measures presented in the Regulation are necessary to verify the validity of numbers.

#### **Application: General application guidelines**

The Regulation requires telecommunications operators to block calls terminating from a public international interface if the calling party number is clearly incorrect or a Finnish telephone number. Such calls cannot be connected to the called party. Telecommunications operators can implement call blocking by releasing the call or by directing the call attempt to an indication device that transmits ringing tone on the voice channel, for example.

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

In accordance with section 2.9, in the SIP protocol calling party number means the number transmitted in the header field P-Asserted-Identity (PAID) but, from the viewpoint of verifying the validity of a number, also the number transmitted in the header field From. In this respect, telecommunications operators can perform the validity verification in accordance with the Regulation on both the PAID and From header fields and block the call if at least one of the fields gives reason to do so. Alternatively, telecommunications operators can change the number in the header field From to correspond to the value in the header field PAID and only verify that information.

Clearly incorrect numbers, as referred to in the Regulation, mean numbers that fall outside the scope of Recommendation E.164, such as carrier access codes, emergency numbers and national numbers that begin with 11 (e.g. numbers beginning with 118 and 116), for example. These numbers are subject to the same filtering measures as Finnish numbers in compliance with the Regulation.

According to section 10.6 of the Regulation, the requirements concerning the verification of the validity of numbers laid down in sections 10.4 and 10.5 do not apply to situations in which the called party number is a Finnish mobile station roaming number (MSRN). The following MSRN number spaces are currently in use: 04099, 0457011, 0457601, 0457605, 0508710, 0508711, 0508712, 0508713, 0508761 and 050879.

Application: Mobile network subscriber numbers or subscriber numbers beginning with 0299

According to the Regulation, telecommunications operators must block calls terminating from the public international interface when the calling party number is a Finnish telephone number and the situation does not involve roaming as referred to in section 7.2.1 of the Regulation.

Thus, calls from mobile network subscriber numbers or subscriber numbers beginning with 0299 do not have to be blocked if the number belongs to a customer of a telecommunications operator with telecommunications operations in Finland and the customer in question is abroad and can therefore be assumed to be making the call. The telecommunications operator receiving traffic at the international interface is responsible for carrying out these verifications, and the operator can connect the call forward only after a successful verification. The telecommunications operator receiving international traffic can also outsource the verifications to another telecommunications operator.

The verifications must be carried out with respect to the telecommunications operator's own numbers, mobile network subscriber numbers of other telecommunications operators and subscriber numbers beginning with 0299. To carry out the verifications, telecommunications operators must agree on how to arrange the required exchange of information between telecommunications operators. This matter and the alternatives available are discussed in more detail in the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency's recommendation to telecommunications operators on detecting and preventing caller ID spoofing[4].

To ensure that any errors and failures in the arrangements in question would not prevent users roaming abroad from calling Finland, telecommunications operators do not have to block calls if the validity of the number cannot be verified because of a temporary error in the verification service.

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

The verification referred to above is not required for VoLTE roaming (S8HR) calls, because in these calls telecommunications operators can identify users and verify their right to use numbers.

In accordance with section 10.5 of the Regulation, telecommunications operators must, instead of blocking a call, restrict calling line identification in calls in which the calling party number begins with 0435. The calling line identification restriction must be activated regardless of the location of the subscription, meaning that the verification defined below does not have to be carried out for these numbers.

**Application: Call forwarding**

The blocking procedure described in the Regulation also applies to the termination of forwarded calls from the international interface when the calling party number is a Finnish telephone number. In accordance with section 10.5 of the Regulation, telecommunications operators must, instead of blocking a call, restrict calling line identification in calls in which the redirecting number is an international telephone number.

According to section 10.4 of the Regulation, forwarded calls can be normally connected to the called party if the situation involves roaming as referred to in section 7.2.1. This means situations in which the redirecting number is a Finnish mobile network subscriber number or a subscriber number beginning with 0299 and the validity of the number has been successfully verified (see details above in section Application: Mobile network subscriber numbers or subscriber numbers beginning with 0299).

As noted in section 2.10, in the SIP protocol, the header field used to transmit the redirecting number may vary depending on what has been agreed on regarding interconnection (typically Diversion, History-Info). Therefore, telecommunications operators must identify in which field the information is transferred and target their measures accordingly. In the same connection, telecommunications operators are recommended to clean the header field Diversion so that it only contains the most recent redirecting number.

**Application: Text, multimedia and RCS messages**

The telecommunications operator must block any text messages, multimedia messages and RCS messages coming from the public international interface to a subscriber of a Finnish mobile network if the calling party number is a Finnish telephone number or alphanumeric sender ID.

When the calling party number of a text message coming from the public international interface to a subscriber of a Finnish mobile network is an SMS number in accordance with Annex 2 to the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency Regulation 32[18], the telecommunications operator must change it to the form "Tuntematon" (Unknown) or block the message.

SMS numbers refer to numbers used to direct text, image and multimedia messages to SMS services. SMS numbers begin with the number 1 and contain at least 5 digits, but they are not numbers in accordance with Recommendation E.164 of the International Telecommunication Union (ITU). The general emergency number 112 and the public directory assistance number 118 are also SMS numbers as referred to in this Regulation.

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

The purpose of this course of action is to prevent Finnish mobile network subscribers from becoming victims of international crime in the form of text message scams.

#### **10.4 Processing of the calling party number in an application programming interface**

According to the Regulation, in application programming interfaces, a telecommunications operator must ensure that it knows the sender of a text message, multimedia message or RCS message, that the sender has the right to use the Finnish calling party number used and that any alphanumeric calling party number it transfers (see section 2.9) is not misleading and that the alphanumeric calling party number is clearly related to the activity carried out by the sender of the message.

In this respect, the obligation applies to text messages, multimedia messages and RCS messages conveyed into the telecommunications operator's network via an application programming interface. The obligation does not apply to over-the-top (OTT) messages conveyed directly to an application over a broadband connection.

Application: User identification

The telecommunications operator must identify the sender of the message in order to be able to verify the right to use the calling party number used. With regard to application programming interfaces, this in practice means identifying the contact person responsible for managing the customer relationship of the sending organisation, sufficiently verifying that person's identity and having knowledge of the customer's business activities. Messages cannot therefore be sent via an application programming interface using a Finnish telephone number or an alphanumeric sender ID, for example, by merely opening a customer account online and paying the related fee by credit card, as in such a case the identification of the sender and the verification of the right of use have not been carried out.

The more detailed process and procedures related to user identification are defined through industry self-regulation in Sender ID Code of Conduct [28]. In the view of the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency, by complying with the code of conduct a telecommunications operator can fulfil the user identification requirement laid down in the Regulation.

Application: Verification of the right of use

The sender of a message cannot freely choose the number or identifier it uses. In practice, this means that the telecommunications operator must verify and define in advance the identifiers permitted for the sender, and the sender may not use other numbers or identifiers as the calling party number in outgoing messages.

The telecommunications operator must ensure that the sender of the message has the right to use the Finnish calling party number used (for example, a telephone number or SMS number in its use) and must separately permit the customer to use only those numbers for which the right of use has been verified. The telecommunications operator must also have a process for change management so that a number once authorised does not remain in use in the application programming interface when the customer's right to use that number has ceased.

Similarly, the telecommunications operator must ensure that any alphanumeric calling party number it transfers is not misleading and that the alphanumeric calling party number is clearly related to the activity carried out by the sender of the message. This is intended to be interpreted broadly so that customers can be permitted to use all

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

appropriate identifiers. The identifier used may be based, for example, on the customer's name, trademark, established domain name or the nature of its activities. On this basis, in an application programming interface the sender may be permitted to use certain generic terms as an alphanumeric identifier where the use of the identifier is justified by the nature of the sender's activities and is related to those activities.

In this respect, it should be noted that more than one identified sender may use the same sender ID authorised under this model by the telecommunications operator or its contractual partner. Exclusive rights to use a specific alphanumeric sender ID can only be obtained through the registration of an SMS Sender ID applied for from Traficom.

Misleading calling party numbers include all spellings of protected SMS Sender IDs that seek to mislead the recipient by impersonating another party. Misleading calling party numbers also include sender IDs other than those derived from a protected SMS Sender ID where the sender does not have legitimate grounds for using the sender ID. Examples of attempts to mislead using alphanumeric sender IDs include the use of additional spaces, variations in letter case, the use of characters, letters or numbers that look the same or almost the same as the correct character but differ from it, or the inclusion of characters not permitted in an alphanumeric sender ID. Unjustified imitation of another company's name in a sender ID may also be considered misleading use.

The more detailed process and procedures related to verifying the right to use a sender ID are defined through industry self-regulation in Sender ID Code of Conduct [28]. In the view of the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency, by complying with the code of conduct a telecommunications operator can fulfil the requirement concerning the verification of the right to use a sender ID laid down in the Regulation.

#### Application: Delegation of obligations to a contractual partner

With regard to its direct contractual partners that use the application programming interface, a telecommunications operator may meet this requirement by contractually obliging them to comply with the relevant requirements. Where a telecommunications operator receives messaging traffic via an application programming interface from another traffic-conveying entity, the telecommunications operator must ensure that the party delivering the traffic to it applies the same procedures with regard to the verification of the right of use and the definition of permitted identifiers.

If it emerges, for example in connection with detected misuse, that the party conveying traffic to the telecommunications operator does not comply with the above-mentioned rules for verifying the right of use, the telecommunications operator must close the connection concerned if it cannot otherwise ensure compliance with the obligations laid down in the Regulation.

In the prior notification procedure, information submitted to the telecommunications operator may include the official name of the sending company, the contact details of its representative and the number or sender ID used. The identification of the sender may be carried out, for example, by using strong electronic identification. Exclusive rights to use a specific sender ID can only be obtained through the registration of an SMS Sender ID applied for from Traficom.

The telecommunications operator must have effective and appropriate procedures in the application programming interface to intervene in situations where a contractual partner that it has obliged to ensure compliance with the requirements is unable to

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

manage the authorisation process for sender IDs in the manner required by the Regulation.

The more detailed process and procedures are defined through industry self-regulation in Sender ID Code of Conduct [28]. The Finnish Transport and Communications Agency recommends that telecommunications operators comply with the code of conduct in order to ensure that the model applied is consistent.

#### Application: Measures for unauthorised identifiers

If a telecommunications operator is unable to verify the right to use the calling party number in accordance with section 10.8 of the Regulation, it must first change the calling party number to the form "Tuntematon" (Unknown) or block the message. From 2 November 2026 onwards, in the situation referred to above, the telecommunications operator must:

- 1) block messages in which the calling party number is a Finnish telephone number;
- 2) block messages in which the calling party number is an alphanumeric sender ID or alternatively change the alphanumeric sender ID to the form "Roskaposti" (Spam).

The objective of the Regulation is to ensure that messaging traffic is authorised as extensively as possible in accordance with section 10.8, so that the measures required under this section would need to be applied to as small a proportion of traffic as possible.

#### Recommendation

Between the original sender of the message, or the service platform used by the sender, and the mobile network operator delivering the message to the subscriber, there should preferably be no more than one intermediary acting as a message aggregator, in order to ensure that the verification of the right of use required by the Regulation remains as reliable as possible.

### **10.5 Protected SMS Sender IDs**

According to section 10.8 of the Regulation, a telecommunications operator must block any text messages sent to a subscriber of a Finnish mobile network using a protected SMS Sender ID in application programming interfaces and international interfaces, if the sender is not verified as the legal person that has registered said ID. The telecommunications operator must also have the capability to edit certain other SMS Sender IDs or block the messages sent using them in application programming interfaces and international interfaces.

By registering SMS Sender IDs they use with the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency, the parties that send text messages can protect their SMS Sender IDs and ensure that no other party can use the same SMS Sender IDs. This requirement implements the protection mentioned above. The deployment of a registered ID may require that the traffic it contains is directed via an interface specified by the telecommunications operator.

#### Application

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

Once Traficom has registered an SMS Sender ID to be protected with a registration decision, there is a transition period of three calendar months, during which the SMS service provider that registered the SMS Sender ID must enter into an agreement on the use of the protected ID with one or more Finnish telecommunications operators. The agreement can also be made with a service provider such as an SMS aggregator.

After three months from the registration of the SMS Sender ID, telecommunications operators must block any text messages sent to a subscriber of a Finnish mobile network with a protected SMS Sender ID in application programming interfaces and international interfaces, if the sender is not verified as the legal person that has registered said ID. This means that if the ID was registered for example on 15 November 2023, the ID must be blocked in application programming interfaces and international interfaces on 15 February 2024. If the blocking date falls on a weekend or public holiday, the blocking can be implemented on the following business day.

After the transition period following the registration, messages with the protected SMS Sender ID can no longer be sent to recipients from abroad via the public international interface; instead, the traffic must be brought to the network via application programming interfaces offered by Finnish telecommunications operators. Traffic is not restricted between Finnish telecommunications operators or within the network, and therefore the SMS service provider must decide if it wishes to enter into an agreement on the transmission of messages with one or more telecommunications operators.

Protection of an SMS Sender ID automatically covers all of its letter-case variants.

## **11. Statistics**

Section 11 of the Regulation requires telecommunications operators to compile monthly statistics on calls terminating from the public international interface when the calling party number is a Finnish telephone number. The statistics must include the following information:

- 1) the total number of calls
- 2) the number of calls blocked in accordance with section 10.4 of the Regulation broken down by mobile network subscriber numbers, including subscriber numbers beginning with 0299, and other Finnish telephone numbers
- 3) the total number of calls connected forward in accordance with section 10.4 of the Regulation because of roaming
- 4) the total number of forwarded calls in accordance with section 10.5.1 of the Regulation with the restriction of calling line identification activated.

With regard to application programming interfaces, telecommunications operators must keep statistics on alphanumeric sender IDs authorised in accordance with section 10.8 for their own customers and for their contractual partners. In this respect, a telecommunications operator must therefore require its contractual partners to notify it of the numbers and alphanumeric sender IDs authorised by the contractual partner.

With regard to application programming interfaces, telecommunications operators must keep monthly statistics on the number of messages blocked in accordance with section 10.9 and on the number of messages in which the telecommunications

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

operator has, in accordance with section 10.9, changed the calling party number displayed in the message.

The obligation has been imposed in order to monitor the effectiveness and functionality of the measures and thereby to build a situational picture. The obligation to compile statistics is set in rather broad terms, only requiring the monthly total figures for calls in accordance with subsections 1–4. Telecommunications operators may themselves find it necessary to compile more detailed statistics, but decisions regarding their implementation is left to the operators' discretion.

## **Chapter 5 Entry into force**

### **12. Entry into force and transitional period**

The Regulation enters into force on 4 May 2026 and will remain in force until further notice.

This Regulation repeals the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency Regulation on the interoperability of communications networks and services (28 K/2023 M) of 3 November 2023.

The Regulation is included in the Series of Regulations issued by the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency in Finlex, the Electronic Statutes of Finland[3], and can be obtained from the Agency's customer service.

## **Chapter 6 Recommendations regarding the implementation of SIP services**

This chapter provides some recommendations regarding the implementation and interoperability of SIP services that are not directly related to any specific section of the Regulation.

### **13. Interoperability of fax services**

Even though fax use has seen a marked decrease as new communications services have been taken in use, the fax is still a necessary communication tool in certain contexts. In order to ensure the functionality of this service, fax performance in the IP network must be ensured.

The implementation of fax services in subscriptions using VoIP technology has proven challenging. Problems have been posed by insufficient support for devices on the transmission path as well as insufficient testing of products, device performance and numerous compatibility issues. The problems detected have been discussed in more detail, for example, in a problem statement prepared by the SIP Forum FoIP Task Group<sup>1</sup> [29].

The SIP Forum FoIP Task Group has determined solutions to eliminate the observed problems. Proposals for remedies and improvements have been published in at least the following standards, published by ITU-T between 2009 and 2010 and by IETF in 2013:

- IETF RFC 6913 [30];

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.sipforum.org/activities/technical-wg-overview-and-charter/foip-task-group-charter/>

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

- ITU-T T.38 [31];
- ITU-T V.152 [32];
- ITU-T V.153 [33].

#### Recommendation

It is recommended that telecommunications operators providing fax services pay attention to fax service support, interoperability and performance in their equipment and software acquisitions. Telecommunications operators are advised to utilise products conforming to the aforementioned latest standards, in which efforts have been made to fix the observed problems.

### **14. Connection of SIP PBXs to the public telephone network**

The interface for SIP-based PBXs has not yet been fully standardised, leading to challenges regarding the interconnection of these PBXs to the public telephone network. Telecommunications operators have been forced to test the PBXs in order to be able to identify the PBXs compatible with the provided service. Even if a PBX has been found to be compatible, some adaptations may still have been required. The use of a shared interface that everyone could try to support would benefit both customers and telecommunications operators.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended that telecommunications operators provide their customers with a network-to-network interface conforming to the SIP Forum's SIPconnect 2.0 specifications[34] for interconnecting SIP-based PBXs to the public telephone network.

### **15. Anonymisation of SIP addresses in the call itemisation of a subscriber bill**

This section presents the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency's recommendation regarding the anonymisation of SIP addresses in the bill itemisation provided to subscribers. Section 134, subsection 4 of the Act on Electronic Communications Services (Bill itemisation and connection-specific itemisation), lays down the following with respect to the itemisation provided to a subscriber:

*"Upon request by the subscriber, the telecommunications operator shall provide the itemised bill free-of-charge. Unless otherwise provided in subsections 2 or 3, such an itemisation shall be provided in a form where the last three digits of the phone number are obscured or the itemisation otherwise rendered such that the other party of the communication cannot be identified."*

Since the last three digits of SIP addresses cannot be obscured, telecommunications operators must otherwise ensure that the other communication party cannot be identified on the basis of the itemisation. In the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency's opinion, a safe alternative would be to only indicate the connection's time, duration, charge and SIP address in a completely anonymised form in the itemisation provided to the subscriber. This means that the itemisation indicates that a SIP address has been called, but the user component and domain component of the SIP URI are both anonymised. A good starting point would be to not reveal even the length of the address or domain. Furthermore, the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency recommends that this practice is explained to the party requesting bill itemisation in, for instance, the itemisation itself, since this type of practice is likely to be new and unfamiliar to that party.

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

The section in question also notes that identification data concerning free-of-charge services shall not be indicated in an itemised bill. If calling, for instance, other SIP addresses in the same network is free of charge, the itemisation may not include the identification data of these connections.

Section 134 of the Act on Electronic Communications Services also includes provisions on how services provided at additional charges must be itemised on a bill. At the time of drafting this recommendation, such services are not being provided from SIP addresses, so the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency has not considered it necessary to issue a separate recommendation concerning the subject.

## Chapter 7 Annexes and references

### 16. List of references

- [1] Act on Electronic Communications Services (917/2014), <http://www.finlex.fi/fi/laki/ajantasa/2014/20140917> (up-to-date Finnish version), <https://www.finlex.fi/fi/lainsaadanto/saadaskaannokset/2014/eng/917> (unofficial English translation)
- [2] Directive (EU) 2018/1972 establishing the European Electronic Communications Code, <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/dir/2018/1972/oj?locale=en>
- [3] Series of regulations on communications issued by the Finnish Transport and Communications Agency <https://www.finlex.fi/fi/viranomaiset/normi/480001/>
- [4] Finnish Transport and Communications Agency's publication 5/2022, Recommendation to telecommunications operators on detecting and preventing caller ID spoofing, <https://www.traficom.fi/en/regulations>
- [5] Finnish Transport and Communications Agency's Recommendation 67 on information security of telecommunications operations; for an up-to-date version, see <https://www.finlex.fi/fi/viranomaiset/maarayskokoelmat/traficom-viestinta/2024/50299>
- [6] ITU-T Recommendation E.164 (11/10): The international public telecommunication numbering plan, <http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC/en>
- [7] Finnish Transport and Communications Agency's Regulation 35 on barring services for subscriber connections; for an up-to-date version, see <https://www.finlex.fi/fi/viranomaiset/normi/480001/>
- [8] RCS specification document maintained by the GSMA, <https://www.gsma.com/solutions-and-impact/technologies/networks/rcs/>
- [9] 3GPP TS23.038 Technical Specification Group Core Network and Terminals, Alphabets and language-specific information (Release 18), <https://www.3gpp.org/specifications-technologies/specifications-by-series>
- [10] Traficom's guidance on the protection of an SMS Sender ID, <https://www.traficom.fi/en/communications/broadband-and-telephone/sms-sender-id>

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

- [11] FICORA, working group report 3/2010, Ethernet pohjaiset vuokratuotteet (available only in Finnish), 20 December 2010, <https://www.traficom.fi/fi/saadokset>
- [12] FICORA, working group report 3/2006, Operaattorien väliset toimintatavat laajakaistaprosesseissa (available only in Finnish), 24 October 2013, <https://www.traficom.fi/fi/saadokset>
- [13] Finnish Standards Association SFS, SFS 5779 Signalling in the public switched network (PSTN). ISDN User Part ISUP Version 2 of the national Signalling System No.7. Application of ITU-T recommendations Q.761-Q.764 and Q.766 in Finland. 2nd edition. 1996, <http://sales.sfs.fi/>
- [14] Finnish Standards Association SFS, SFS 5869:en Signalling in the public switched network (PSTN). ISDN User Part ISUP Version 3 of the national Signalling System No.7. Application of ITU-T recommendations Q.730 and Q.761–Q.766 in Finland. 2nd edition. 2001, <http://sales.sfs.fi/>
- [15] Finnish Standards Association SFS, SFS 5778 Signalling in the public switched network (PSTN). ISDN User Part ISUP Version 2 of the national Signalling System No.7. Supplementary services. 2nd edition. 1997, <http://sales.sfs.fi/>
- [16] Finnish Standards Association SFS, SFS 5868 Signalling in the public switched network (PSTN). ISDN User Part ISUP Version 3 of the national Signalling System No.7. Supplementary services. 1999, <http://sales.sfs.fi/>
- [17] FICORA, Puhelinnumeron siirrettävyys, kiinteä puhelinverkko, tekninen verkkototeutus 5/2004, 8 December 2008 (available only in Finnish), <https://www.traficom.fi/fi/saadokset>
- [18] Finnish Transport and Communications Agency's Regulation 32 on barring services for subscriber connections, for an up-to-date version, see <https://www.finlex.fi/fi/viranomaiset/normi/480001/>
- [19] ITU-T Recommendation Q.704 (07/1996): Switching and Signalling; Specifications of Signalling System No. 7 – Message transfer part: Signalling network functions and messages, <http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC/en>
- [20] ITU-T Recommendation Q.708 (03/1999): Switching and Signalling; Specifications of Signalling System No. 7 – Message transfer part: Assignment procedures for international signalling point codes, <http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC/en>
- [21] IETF, RFC 3261 SIP: Session Initiation protocol, <http://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc3261/>
- [22] MAPEL, Basic Set of Norms for Providing Premium Electronic Services, [https://www.mapel.fi/set\\_of\\_norms\\_in\\_english/](https://www.mapel.fi/set_of_norms_in_english/)
- [23] Finnish Standards Association SFS, SFS 5876 Telecommunications network exchanges. Tones and ringing signals, 9 May 2000, <http://sales.sfs.fi/>
- [24] Finnish Standards Association SFS, SFS 5749 Signalling in the public switched network (PSTN). Handling of cause information related to call failure, 20 August 2001, <http://sales.sfs.fi/>

M28 L/2025 15 December 2025  
UNOFFICIAL TRANSLATION

- [25] FICORA, Recommendation 201/2014 S Finnish profile for SIP-I interworking, <https://traficom.fi/en/regulations/recommendations-2012014-s-and-2022014-s-finnish-profile-sip-interworking>
- [26] ITU-T Recommendation Q.1912.5 (03/2004): Interworking between Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) and Bearer Independent Call Control protocol or ISDN User Part, <http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC/en>
- [27] IETF RFC 3398 Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN) User Part (ISUP) to Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Mapping, <http://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc3398/>
- [28] Sender ID - Code of Conduct, <https://www.traficom.fi/en/regulations/regulation-28-interoperability-communications-networks-and-services>
- [29] SIP Forum, Fax Over IP Task Group Problem Statement – V1.0, 23 July 2009, <https://www.sipforum.org/download/fax-over-ip-problem-statement-v1-0/>
- [30] IETF RFC 6913 Indicating Fax over IP Capability in the Session initiation protocol (SIP), <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc6913/>
- [31] ITU-T Recommendation T.38 (09/10): Procedures for real-time Group 3 facsimile communication over IP networks, <http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC/en>
- [32] ITU-T Recommendation V.152 (09/10): Procedures for supporting voice-band data over IP networks, <http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC/en>
- [33] ITU-T Recommendation V.153 (12/09): Interworking between T.38 and V.152 using IP peering for realtime facsimile services, <http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC/en>
- [34] SIP Forum, SIPconnect 2.0 Technical Recommendation, <https://www.sipforum.org/technology/sipconnect/>