

Connecting Europe Facility 2021-2027

CEF Transport MAP Call 2022 – Towards a good proposal

Virtual Info Day Finland

Project Management Coordinator – CEF Transport, maritime sector **CINEA,** 14 October 2022

> European Climate, Infrastructure and Environment Executive Agency

CEF 2 Transport calls – Results in 2022

Envelope	Proposals submitted	Selected proposals	Indicative budget (EUR)	Requested funding (EUR)	Selected funding (EUR)					
Cohesion	82	32	2.675.000.000	5.759.248.666	2.928.037.993					
General	317	103	2.470.000.000	8.258.334.371	2.481.936.137					
Military Mobility	26	22	330.000.000	417.098.745	339.095.549					
AFIF #1-2	50	39	630.000.000*	520.261.883	379.030.869					
Total	475	196	6.105.000.000	14.954.943.665	6.128.100.548					

- Fierce competition among excellent proposals
- Passing mark does not secure the grant

*Total budget 1.575.000.000 divided in 5 cut-off dates between 2021-2023 for Cohesion and General envelopes.



Admissibility check

> Admissible proposal:

- \checkmark Is electronically submitted on time
- \checkmark Contains the forms provided inside the Submission System
- ✓ Is complete:
 - Application Form Part A contains administrative information about the participants and the summarised budget for the project (to be filled in directly online)
 - Application Form Part B contains the technical description of the project
- ✓ Mandatory Annexes: agreement by the Member State(s), Detailed budget table per Work Package, Timetable/Gantt chart, Environmental compliance file - for all applications except ERTMS and RFN, Activity reports of last year and List of previous projects (key projects for the last 4 years) – no operational capacity check for public bodies, Member State organisations, international organisations, and beneficiaries of grants under CEF 1 and 2, Full cost-benefit analysis (CBA) report and CBA cash flow template - only for works or mixed projects with a budget (eligible costs) > EUR 10 million, Simplified CBA calculator – only for works or mixed projects with a budget (eligible costs) < EUR 10 million</p>

Admissibility check Call 2021 – lessons learnt

>Incomplete application forms:

- No grant amount requested in part A of the AF, **wrong budget** uploaded not corresponding to requested amount in SEP
- **MS Agreement** not signed; agreements from other concerned MS (benefiting from the project) missing
- Missing and/or incomplete Environmental Compliance File: e.g. not duly signed, dated and stamped declarations by the competent authority for NATURA 2000 and Water Framework Directive
- CBA report referring to another proposal, CBA report is an empty document, missing Cash Flow template or Simplified CBA Calculator
- Missing activity report of last year (private bodies)
- Incorrect Gantt chart referring to a different project



Eligibility check

Eligible proposal

- ✓ Submitted by applicants who are legal entities (public or private bodies) established in the EU Member States (for the General calls) and established in one of the Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund (for the Cohesion calls)
- ✓ The proposed **activities** are within the technical scope of the relevant topic
- ✓ The **geographic location** of the project is on the TEN-T network (core and/or comprehensive)
- ✓ The duration of the project for works or mixed projects should be 4-5 years maximum, and for studies projects it should be 2-3 years maximum
 - \checkmark The earliest starting date may be the proposal submission
 - \checkmark The end date cannot be later than 31/12/2027
- ✓ Any budget requested is admitted but recommended to be min EUR 1 million of EU contribution requested



Eligibility check Call 2021 – lessons learnt

- Proposal submitted by an applicant from a non-eligible country
- Location of the proposal not on the TEN-T network
- >Project's start date in parts A and B of AF in different times
- Project duration wrongly calculated
- Project proposal 'out of scope' under the topic submitted
- Project proposal and the Global Project insufficiently clear





Award criteria – Priority and urgency

Correspondence with overall EU objectives (Green Deal) and sectoral objectives – TEN-T network, including cross-border link as listed in part III of Annex to CEF Regulation, contribution to the corridor work plans, network effect, etc.

Relevance: how does the proposal addresses the WP/Call/Topic objectives

- EU added-value: demonstrated EU interest and benefits vs national/regional/local level
- Synergies with other EU programmes, other CEF sectors (Energy and Digital), and synergetic elements (for Works topics only)



Award criteria - Maturity

- Readiness/ability of the project to start by the proposed start date and to be completed by the proposed end date (technical maturity)
- > Status of the necessary contracting procedures and permits (procedural maturity)
- Financial availability needed to complement the CEF investment (financial maturity)
- Correspondence between the technical planning and financial profile
- Works/mixed proposals: demonstrating key steps of the environmental impact assessment by the date of application: an EIA report prepared by the project promoter and consultations carried out under the EIA Directive, to be followed by the development consent procedure that may be completed after the submission of the CEF application



Award criteria - Quality

- Operational capacity check: Assessing the competence and experience of the applicants and their project teams
- The implementation plan proposed, from technical (WP well structured) and financial (cost effective) point of view
- Organisational structure (project management) put in place (or foreseen) for the implementation
- > Risk analysis/management, the control and quality procedures
- Communication strategy to provide visibility to CEF funding
- Sustainability and maintenance strategy for the completed project, if applicable for works



Award criteria - Impact

Socio-economic impact of the project – based on the CBA analysis when applicable

Environmental and climate impact of the project - climate change targets, impact on air pollutants, and (possible) greenhouse gas emission reductions

Climate resilience (for Works topics)

measures of climate proofing set for mitigation and adaptation of the proposed infrastructure (as specified the Technical guidance on the climate proofing of infrastructure)

As indicated in the WP, climate proofing is recommended (not yet required) for projects with already key steps of the EIA completed

- Other impacts on congestion, modal split, safety and security, service quality, and noise emissions
- > **Decision-making tool**, input for policy making or development of best practices (for Studies topics)
- Effects on the interoperability of the transport systems/modes and territorial accessibility in the TEN-T network (i.e. the cross-border dimension), innovation and digitalisation, competition, regional and
 Iocal development and land use, and outermost regions

Award criteria – Catalytic effect

Effect of the CEF funding on the realisation of the project

- Overcoming a financial gap generated by insufficient financial viability, high upfront costs and/or the lack of market finance
- Financial leverage: Increasing the capacity to mobilise differentiated sources i.e. public and private investments & accelerating the overall investment plan
- >Improving the quality of the project

Effects on the stakeholder commitment/acceptance of the project



Shortcomings of proposals – Call 2021

Priority and urgency

- Proposed investments not (fully) addressing the objectives of the topic, ineligible elements
- Proposal submitted under **wrong topic** ineligible
- EU added value, the need for and the impact of project insufficiently / vaguely demonstrated
- Synergies with other projects / sectors and / or network effect mentioned but not elaborated

> Maturity

- Missing / limited information on the status of permits / authorisations / completion of plans, etc.
- Doubtful financial readiness: which financial sources have been secured, status of loans?
- Incomplete environmental files, missing signatures from competent authorities



Shortcomings of proposals – Call 2021 >Quality

- Unclear / insufficient description of work packages (WP)
- Insufficient tasks / milestones / deliverables for complex projects
- Inconsistent information with regard to scope of the WPs, deliverables and/or milestones, timing
- Inconsistent budget tables, not detailed / not justified budget breakdown per task
- Limited information on identified risks and incomplete mitigations measures
- Communication tasks described too vaguely

≻Impact

• **CBA**: **unclear scope**, insufficient explanations about the assumptions/parameters

14 Catalytic effect: unclear financial leverage of EU funding



Towards a good proposal



A call for proposal is a process allowing the EU to select the best solution in view of a certain outcome

- To be successful applicants shall:
- 1) *The request:* understand what the EU wants to achieve
- 2) The solution: present a technical offer in the clearest possible way

3) *The way of doing:* pay attention to the structure as much as to the substance of the proposal

4) *The timing:* be an efficient planner



Towards a good proposal – the request



What are the specific priorities and objectives of the Call / topic?

- ✓ Read through carefully the work programme, call text, admissibility and eligibility criteria, award criteria, FAQs, etc.
- ✓ Which topic does the proposal fit the best:
 - Identify the topic to which your proposal is most relevant
 - Justify why **YOUR** proposal should ultimately be selected for funding
 - Demonstrated how the project results will fit within the CEF Transport objectives
- ✓ Choose partners, coordinating applicant, if applicable
- Contact Member State early to seek their agreement (and advice)



Towards a good proposal – the solution

- ✓ **Submission tool**: eGrants system, Funding & Tender Portal
 - Set the access to a personalised space for each user in the Funding & Tenders Portal based on:
 - A unique **EU Login account** for each user, a unique identifier for persons, linked to their professional **email address**
 - Each EU Login account is linked to one (or more) PIC number(s) = unique identifier for organisations
 - Each EU Login account is linked to all the roles that the user has in projects and/or organisations
- ✓ Prepare the application in the **requested templates**
 - Complete the administrative information in the application Part A
- **Review ALL** documents applicable for your topic



Towards a good proposal – the solution Part B

- ✓ Provide clear **project description**
- ✓ Address well the **award criteria**:
 - **Priority and urgency:** present the **bottleneck**, the **need** for the proposed investments and how these address the requirements of the topic. Motivate your replies, support your statements with qualitative / quantitative data, where applicable.
 - **Maturity**: Is the proposal technically and financially ready to start?
 - Are there missing necessary information / authorisations?
 - Applications including works and for which an EIA is mandatory
 - Consider applying in future calls if your proposal is not mature enough
 - **Impact**: prove that CEF Transport funding will make a difference
 - Quality: explain and justify the level of resources, describe the management of the project
 - **Catalytic effect:** evaluate and justify the financial gap, how the EU grant will facilitate/accelerate the project





Part B, Work plan, work packages and timing

✓ Clear presentation of the work plan

✓ Concise scope of work packages and tasks

- Deliverables and milestones: concrete outcomes and logical checkpoints during the implementation of the project > meaningful and sufficient to present the project results and follow the implementation
- Respect the duration of project defined in the call
- ✓ Respect the **page restriction** of 120 pages (exceeding pages are cut)





✓ Plan the budget carefully

✓ Include only eligible costs: check the eligibility period

✓ Ensure consistency between the <u>Detailed Budget Table per work package</u> (Excel) and the <u>Budget Table per cost category</u> (Part A)

DETAILED BUDGET TABLE PER WP								Application forms																												
	OWN PER WORK PACK		CIPANT							Acro	oosal ID SEP-; onym budg - Budge1	get table																?								
Reporting period can	be added/deleted as neede	d								If you	our proposal fult	lfils the crit	eria specifie	d in the call	documents, y	ou can sele	ct below a di	fferent reimt	oursement r	rate (the sta	andard rate i	is selected b	oy default):													
Work Package	Participant	Reporting period 1	Reporting period 2	Reporting period 3	Reporting period 4	Reporting period 5	Total costs	Funding rate (for work package)	EU contribution	No.	Name of beneficiary	Country	Role	Personne costs -	K Funding F	Purchase costs -	costs -	costs - su	apport to	Studies/	Synergetic elements/		Land purchases/	Indirect costs/EUR	Total eligible	Ineligible costs/EUR	estimated	rate	EU	EU		generated	contributi	contributi	resources/	Total
WP name 1	Participant name1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00					without volunteer /EUR	costs/EUR	Travel and subsistenc e/	t/EUR	Other goods, works and services/	third parties/ EUR	EUR	EUR	regions/ EUR	EUR		costs/EUR		project costs and contributi ons/EUR		contributi on to eligible costs/EUR	contributi on to eligible		by the project/ EUR	ons/EUR	ons/EUR	EUR	project income/ EUR
WP name 1	Participant name2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00							EUR		EUR									ouscon		COMPLOI	CONFLOR						
WP name 2	Participant name1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00											Special Funding rate		Special Funding rate														
WP name 3	Participant name1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00											0		70														
WP name 4	Participant name1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	1	Charalampos Xenogiannis	BE	Coordinator											0,00	0,00		0	50	0,00		0,00	0				0,0
Total		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00				Total		0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0,00	0,00	0	0	50	0,00	0,00	0,00	0 0	0	0	0	0,00



Towards a good proposal – the way of doing

Each proposal is evaluated on its **own merits and** based **only** on the **information provided** by the applicant. Think about the evaluators...look at your proposal from an external perspective!

✓ Provide only relevant information, in a clear, <u>factual</u> and concise manner

 Use simple, clear language: well-structured responses which are addressing the question, substantiated and concise

✓ Be coherent in describing the objectives, work package, duration and budget

✓ Be consistent through your statements in different parts of the application



Towards a good proposal – the timing



Time matters...is my application ready to be submitted?

- Start early...completing an application is time consuming, especially for first time applicants and multi-applicant proposals
- Prepare all required documents, contact relevant entity(ies) on time
- Regularly check and re-read the proposal: is it complete, are all forms uploaded, is there a need for an update?
- ✓ Ask somebody to review the proposal
- Do not wait for the submission until the last moment







© European Union 2021

Unless otherwise noted the reuse of this presentation is authorised under the <u>CC BY 4.0</u> license. For any use or reproduction of elements that are not owned by the EU, permission may need to be sought directly from the respective right holders.

